ISSN: 2348-7666; Vol.3, Issue-9(2), September, 2016 Impact Factor: 3.656; Email: drtvramana@yahoo.co.in



A Critical Analysis of the Relevance of Gandhian Ideology to the Present Society

K. V. Ramakrishna Rao, Independent Researcher, Assistant Commissioner of Customs, Central Excise and Service Tax. Director – Institute for the Study of Ancient Indian Arts and Sciences, 25 (Old.9), Venkatachala Iyer Street, West Mambalam,

Chennai – 600 033

Abstract: This paper analyses the Relevance of Gandhian Ideology to the Present Society. Criticism of Gandhi, Gandhian ideals and ideology started during the British period itself by many statemen, religious heads, scholars and ideologists all over the world. Like Ambedkar and Jinnah, many other leaders had differences of opinion with Gandhi. Even Dravidian ideologue E.V.Ramasamai Naicker joined them in 1940 to oppose Gandhi to divide nation into three. Very often, Netaji and Sardar Patel are compared for certain acts carried on during the freedom struggle against the British. Bardoli Satyagraha and Chauri chaura incidences were interpreted differently. The partition, killing of milions of people crossing the borders at the time of independence, Gandhi's fasting for various issues during 1947-48 have become topics of discussion, debate and argument, depending upon the point of view, one takes.

Key words: Ambedkar, Jinnah, Gandhian ideology

Analysis

Ideological struggle, dogmatic conflict and philosophical wars: Today battles are fought in the fields of propaganda, domains of campaigning and spheres of demonstration. Even, in the just-past history of 50-100 years, such interpretative discourses, critical assessments and forced evaluations mislead the current generation². Many times, the writings of Gandhi are turned againat him, as his life has been an "open book". When "the relevance of Gandhian ideology to the present society" is deliberated at different Universities and colleges, it is disparaged, dedraded and denigrated by the intellectuals! He is portrayed as anti-dalit, casteist, racist, British agent, womanizer, the 'first corporate sponsored NGO of this country' and so on and thus, such ramblings have been trying to reduce him to some sort of less than Mahatma or not Mahatma at all! And Gandhi's great-grand son has to defend him³. The youth of the day are carried away by the exiting talks, emotional appeals and garrulous ramblings⁴. It is edvident that biased ideology, prejudiced dogma and spirited doctrine work wilfully to attack Gandhi by all means. In the presentation of fact and fantasy; history and mythistory; reality and myth; many times, truth is camouflaged with such fantasies and therefore, even researcher has to remove chaff from the grain to access fact. Thus, Gandhi, Gandhism, Gandhian ideals, Gandhian ideology etc., have been exposed expounded, and explained ideologically. Here, in this paper, only few examples are taken to drive out the point tackling the issue directly.

Half-naked seditious fakir (1931): Criticism of Gandhi, Gandhian ideals and ideology started during the British period itself by many statemen, religious heads,

ISSN: 2348-7666; Vol.3, Issue-9(2), September, 2016





scholars and ideologists all over the world⁵. In 1931 itself, Winston Churchill addressing the Council of the West Essex Unionist Association on February 23, 1931 said 6, "It is alarming and also nauseating to see Mr. Gandhi, an Inner Temple lawyer, now become a seditious fakir of a type well known in the East, striding half-naked up the steps of the Viceregal Palace, while he is still organizing and conducting a defiant campaign of civil disobedience, to parley on equal terms with the representative of the King-Emperor." He had thundered: "I am against these conversations and agreements between Lord Irwin and Mr. Gandhi. . . The truth is that Gandhi-ism and all it stands for will have to be grappled with and finally Whether crushed." Gandhism crushed or not, he could not have seen the consequences. His sarcasm filled with superiority and hatred are revealed through the expfressions used - Inner Temple Lawyer, Seditious fakir, Striding half-naked, conducting defiant campaign, parley on equal terms, etc. So, when Indians of various categories participated in three Round Table Conferences (TRC) taken place during 1930-32, their responses could have been in the same way⁷. Ironically, the RTCs paved way for Communal Award (1932) and Pakistan (1947) dividing India on caste and communal lines.

The World Wars and the British dealing with India: Definitely, when the west was experimenting with new ideologies, weaponry and hatred in the Worls Wars I (1914-18) and II (1939-45), India was experiencing different ideology, nonviolence and reconciliation. Indian soldiers participated in the Wars and get martyed also finghting for the British! The rulers at London understood the

valor of the Indian soldiers, and anticipated the event of the native Indian soldiers fighting against them. Thus, the rebellions and mutinies were suppressed. In whatever way or capacity or exigency, the British decided to leave India during the period 1945-47 and the Indians got Independence on 15-08-1947, of course, leaving the violent track of partition on communal basis. Gandhi's adversaries were confronting him with different ideologies and therefore, Gandhi had to evolve his own ideology to counter all, besides his eangagement with various political social and religious groups.

How Mohammedans confronted Mahatma with their ideology: The ideologists have begun their analysis under "myth and reality" aspects. That the appellation of "Mahathma", was never accepted by the orthodox and fundamental Mohammedans, is revealed through the utterances of Ali brothers. Jinnah challenged him with his Muslim separatism to fight for "Pakistan". In 1924, Mohammed Ali to whom Gandhi showed such affection said 8, "However pure Mr. Gandhi's character may be, he must appear to me, from the point of religion, inferior to any Mussalman even though he be without character." In 1925 he emphasized⁹: "Yes, according to my religion and creed, I do hold an adulterous and a fallen Mussalman to be better than Mr. Gandhi". His son Harilal (1888-1948) converted to Islam named himself Abdullah Gandhi bothered him much with his activities. In June 1935, Mahatma Gandhi wrote letters to Harilal, accusing him of raping his own daughter starting before she was eight years old. He also urged him to give up "alcohol and debauchery". In the letters, Mahatma Gandhi stated that Harilal's problems were more difficult for him to deal with

ISSN: 2348-7666; Vol.3, Issue-9(2), September, 2016

Impact Factor: 3.656; Email: drtvramana@yahoo.co.in



than the struggle for Indian republic. Jinnah broke with Gandhi to create Pakistan. Thus, Mohammedans challenged Gandhi in his life by all means defeating his compromising ideology.

Vatican ideology confronted Gandhi (December 1931): Vatican was observing Gandhian methods of dealing poltical, social and religious issues 10. When Gandhi visited Vatican on December 12, 1931, the Pope Pius XI refused to meet him and the Vatican press scorned Gandhi's visit at the time. Gandhi recorded in his diary as follows 11: "Arrived in Rome at 8.30 in the morning. Received letter to the effect that the Pope could not receive me. Three of us stayed with General Morris, the others in a hotel. Went to see the Vatican [Museums] in the afternoon. At 6 o'clock Mussolini." Peter Gonsalves notes 12, "The Pope refused to meet him because he was improperly dressed.' Living and working in Rome, I decided to cease opportunity to explore the truth of this statement. On studying the facts in the Vatican's Secret Archives and Roman libraries, I came across three important details that had gone unreported by popular news stories on Gandhi's visit: first, the untimely nature of Gandhi's request (at the moment when the Pope was wedged between Italian fascism on the one hand and British imperialism on the other); second, Gandhi's seemingly naïve acceptance of Mussolini's invitation for an informal chat (that would cause a delay in India's Independence by a decade); third, the personal esteem that Pius XI had for Gandhi (permitting the publication of an article praising him in the Osservato Romano barely two weeks before Gandhi's arrival in Rome)." In fact, not once, but twice Pope refused to pointed meet as out by other

researchers ¹³. Thus, though, Christian apologists would interpret to the extent that Gandhi was a "crypto Christian" and so on, but, they have maintained their stand of criticing Gandhi.

Gandhi sleeping naked with women: Gandhi has been criticized that he was not a good husband, as he treated his wife Kasturiba very badly. Then, came the other allegations that he slept with women naked and so on. Stanley Wolpert has started campaign of maligning Gandhi with his own interpretation of nagative evidences through his books. Thus, the usual writing would be of the sort, that "On the controversial topic of Gandhi's experiments with girls, Wolpert is groping in the dark unable to grasp the spiritual and psychological connotations of such experiments. One would have to believe that Gandhi never had any physical relations with any of his female disciples because none of the historians have made any indication on the contrary. In Manus (one of the girls with whom he slept) book on Gandhi too she considered him only as her own 'Mother'" and so on 14. Many times, Gandhi's words are taken to criticize him 15. To quote the relevant lines 16, "Gandhi's typist and shorthand secretary, Parasuram, resigned on New Year's day 1947. He was shocked to find Gandhi sleeping naked with Manu. She also bathed and massaged his naked body, finding nothing wrong in doing anything Bapu asked of her. Gandhi insisted that he was never aroused when he slept beside her, or next to Sushila or Abha." In fact, these narratives had been there in Gandhian writings themselves and the 88-years old Gandhi never affected by the acts on whatsoever or the women who attended to him. This is picked up now by the criticizers just to malign him.

ISSN: 2348-7666; Vol.3, Issue-9(2), September, 2016





How Ambedkar defied Gandhi: In Maharastra, unfortunately, Ambedkar and Gandi were interpreted differently during 1930s and 40s and such interpretations continue even today. Thus, a "dalit" interpretation has always been harsh without realizing the reality¹⁷. Of course, at that time the word "dalit" was not used. Mark Shepherd has started defending Mahathma explaining the nuances of ideology 18. Arundhati Roy, described the generally accepted image of Gandhi as a lie speaking at Kerala University, Thiruvananthapuram and observed that "It is time to unveil a few truths about a person whose doctrine of nonviolence was based on the acceptance of a most brutal social hierarchy ever known, the caste system ... Do we really need to name our universities after him?". Roy recently wrote a new introduction to Ambedkar's undelivered 1936 speech, The Annihilation of Caste, in which she called Gandhi "the saint of the status quo". The Marxist and other Communist ideologists bring all negative factors, in more subtele way of balancing the imbalances of criticism to criticize¹⁹. Whatever purpose, purport and motives are implied, imported and introduced, the recent historical facts prove who fought for what at the behest of sacrificing life and wht happened to India with their activities.

Ambedkar and Gandhi - close encounters (1947-48): The politically ambititous Ambedkar appeared to have come closer to the national leaders during the period from 15-08-1947 to 30-01-1948 and it resulted in unexpected an and remarkable rapprochement between Gandhi and Ambedkar. PM Nehru and DPM Patel were party to this accord, which resulted in Ambedkar's entry into free India's first cabinet and his leadership of the Constitution-drafting resulting in the process, Constitution 20, "It was on Gandhi's initiative that Ambedkar was made the Minister of Law, Government of India and subsequently as the Chairman of the Drafting Committee of the Constituent Assembly." However, in 1951 Ambedkar resigned from the cabinet due to the differences arose in the case of Hindu Code Bill. This also implies that after Gandhi, there was no Congress leader to reconcile with Ambedkar. Or none thought as to how Nehru could not do anything. Hardly in six months, what transpired between two is not known, but, Ambedkar was not satisfied with the Congress, as in the 1952 elections, and again in a byelection in 1954, he contested opposing the Congress, but lost on both occasions. Thus, politically, he lost the battle completely. Between, 1954 and 1956, he was struggling to oppose Congress by all means to find a method. However, on 14-10-1956 he emraced to Buddhism and on 06-12-1956, he died. This is also interpreted differently, as if he wanted to embrace Christianity, Islam and so on, but that Ambedkar had chosen Buddhism proved that he had done justification to the Consititution of India, as per the provisions of Article 25. But, after the death of both leaders, again, they are pitted against each other, without going into the facts.

Why national leaders are pitted against eaxch other after their death?: Like Ambedkar and Jinnah, many other leaders had differences of opinion with Gandhi. Even Dravidian ideologue E.V.Ramasamai Naicker joined them in 1940 to oppose Gandhi to divide nation into three 21. Very often, Netaji and Sardar Patel are compared for certain acts carried on during the freedom

ISSN: 2348-7666; Vol.3, Issue-9(2), September, 2016

Impact Factor: 3.656; Email: drtvramana@yahoo.co.in



struggle against the British. Bardoli Satyagraha and Chauri chaura incidences were interpreted differently 22. The partition, killing of milions of people crossing the borders at the time of independence, Gandhi's fasting for various issues during 1947-48 have become topics of discussion, debate and argument, depending upon the point of view, one takes. This has led to the debate of non-violence / ahimsa and violence / himsa qualities involved in the struggles of mass movement. The British might have applied their tactics of "divide and rule", yet, the freedom obtained by India is credited mostly to Gandhi for obvious reasons. It is not to belittle the work done, sacrifice and martyrdom of others. Thus, after independence blaming or praising exclusively any freedom fighter, congress or non-congress leader and nationalist would not be a judicious act. Ideologically oriended media persons, line propagandists party sophisticated journalists could bring out such controversies under the guise of any Unbiased, unprejudiced and issue. balanced views based on facts, founded on specific details and rooted in essentials, instead of mere interpretation, biased exposition and forceful conclusion with selective quoting and citing lines and passages.

The critics really want Gandhi and Ganhian ideology: All wanted and even today want that Gandhi must have been 100% perfect humanbeing and even beyond on the earth. A faithful husband, holy father, obedient son, adavantageous brother, compromising politician, good Hindu, devoted Christian, reliable Mohammedan and so on! However, the expected categories of all sorts – ideologists, adversaries, critics never expected that they should have been at

least half of such standards. Yet, they have chosen to riticize, defame and even blaspheme with varieties interpretations. This clearly proves that they have great belief in Gandhian ideals. The criticizers could only blame Gandhi, as they clould not do better than Gandhi in any aspect of issues cropping up today. It is always easy to censure than understand the difficulties in standing up for values, maintaining ethics and dying for morality. The critiques could vente out their feelings throug despondency, dejection, desperation, hopelessness and frustration in different ways, but, without reason, such outbursts could only expose their weakness, bias prejudice. Thus, it can also be seen that the critics really want Gandhi and Ganhian ideology to work in India for the betterment of Indians.

References

Metting of Jinnah. Perivar and Ambedkar, A paper presented during the 21st session of South Indian History Congress held at Madurai Kamaraj University from 18 to 20 January 2001 and published in the proceedings, pp.128-136.

² Arundhati Roy stirred a controversy on Saturday when she called Mahatma Gandhi as the 'first corporate sponsored NGO of this country.' She even went a step further and added 'it was one of the greatest falsehood in this country to worship him (Gandhi) who wrote horrible things about Dalit, women and poor.' She claimed that she made the comments based on the writings of Gandhi between 1990 to 1946.

The Hindustan Times, <u>Mahatma Gandhi</u> was first corporate sponsored NGO of the country: Arundhati Roy, Abdul Jadid,

ISSN: 2348-7666; Vol.3, Issue-9(2), September, 2016

Impact Factor: 3.656; Email: drtvramana@yahoo.co.in



Gorakhpur, Updated: Mar 22, 2015 11:53 IST.

http://www.hindustantimes.com/india/ma hatma-gandhi-was-first-corporatesponsored-ngo-of-the-country-arundhatirov/story-

05TbhsejVr6VfdFT9u0PBI.html

- ³ Gandhi, Rajmohan. Response to Arundhati Roy. in Economic & Political Weekly 50.30 (2015): 83.
- ⁴ An explosive new book portraying Gandhi as a rabid racist and an unashamed flag-bearer for the British Empire has provoked a heated debate, pitting his admirers against his critics, even before it is out.

Ashwin Desai and Goolam Vahed, The South African Gandhi - Stretcher-Bearer of Empire, Narayana Publishers, 2015.

Hasan Suroor, <u>Gandhiji was a racist.</u> savs new book endorsed by <u>Arundhati</u> <u>Rov</u>, in the Firstpost, Sep 7, 2015 13:10 IST

http://www.firstpost.com/india/the-racism-of-the-mahatma-gandhis-years-in-south-africa-in-focus-thanks-to-new-book-2423502.html

- ⁵ Edwardes, Michael. <u>The mvth of the</u> <u>Mahatma: Gandhi. the British and the</u> <u>Rai</u>, Constable, 1986.
- ⁶ R. K. Prabhu (Compiler), <u>This was</u> <u>Bapu</u>, Navajivan Mudranalaya, Ahmadebad, 1954, p.144.
- ⁷ Here, a Christian opinion has been expressed that dissented the equal pacing of all believers at the Round Table, as only Jresus could sit with equals.

Michael G. Cartwright (Edited by), <u>Exploring Christian Mission Beyond Christendom: United Methodist Perspectives</u>, University of Indianapolis Press, USA, 2010, pp.55-56.

⁸ Gandhi, Rajmohan. <u>Understanding the</u> <u>Muslim mind</u>. Penguin Books India, 2003, pp.109-110.

His son converted to Islam bothered him much with his activities.

- ⁹ B. R. Ambedkar, *Pakistan or Partition* of *India*, Thackar and Co., Bombay, 1941, p.302.
- ¹⁰ Prayer, Mario. <u>The Vatican Church and Mahatma</u> <u>Gandhi's India</u>, <u>1920-1948</u>, Social Scientist (2009): 39-63.
- ¹¹ Gandhi's diary entry of Saturday 12, 1931, <u>Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi</u>, vol. 48, 1958-1984, p. 466. (Henceforth *CWMG*).
- ¹² Peter Gonsalves, <u>Gandhi and the</u> <u>Popes</u>,
- 13 Johnson, Richard L., ed. <u>Gandhi's experiments with truth: essential writings by and about Mahatma Gandhi</u>. Lexington Books, 2005, p.282.
- ¹⁴ Wolpert, Stanley A. <u>Gandhi's passion:</u> <u>The life and legacy of Mahatma Gandhi</u>, Oxford University Press, 2002.
- ¹⁵ Gupta, Dipankar. <u>Gandhi before</u> <u>Habermas: the democratic consequences</u> <u>of Ahimsa</u>, <u>Economic and Political</u> Weekly (2009): 27-33.
- ¹⁶ Wolpert, Stanley A. <u>Gandhi's passion:</u> <u>The life and legacy of Mahatma Gandhi</u>, Oxford University Press, 2002, p.227.
- ¹⁷ Huq, Fazlul. <u>Gandhi. saint or sinner?</u>. Dalit Sahitya Akademy, 1992.
- 18 Shepard, Mark. <u>Mahatma Gandhi and His Myths: Civil Disobedience.</u>
 Nonviolence, and Satvagraha in the Real World (Plus Why It's' Gandhi,'Not'Ghandi'). Simple Productions, 2002.
- ¹⁹ Lal, Vinay. <u>The Gandhi evervone loves</u> <u>to Hate</u>, Economic and Political Weekly (2008): 55-64.
- Chandrashakhar Dharmadhikhar, <u>Contemplating Gandhi – Essays om</u>
 <u>Mahathma's life and thought</u>, Institute of Gandhian Studies, Wardha, 2014, p.11
- ²¹ K. V. Ramakrishna Rao, <u>The Historic</u> <u>Metting of Jinnah. Perivar and</u>

ISSN: 2348-7666; Vol.3, Issue-9(2), September, 2016 Impact Factor: 3.656; Email: drtvramana@yahoo.co.in



Ambedkar, A paper presented during the 21st session of South Indian History Congress held at Madurai Kamaraj University from 18 to 20 January 2001 and published in the proceedings, pp.128-136

²² Dhanagare, D. N. <u>Myth and reality in</u> the Bardoli Satyagraha-1928: a study in <u>Gandhian politics</u>, Australian Journal of Politics & History 26.2 (1980): 265-278.